1	BEFORE THE
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
3	IN THE MATTER OF:
4	SOUTHWEST CENTRAL EMERGENCY) TELEPHONE SYSTEM BOARD,)
5)
6	Complainant,)) No. 05-0055
7	VS.)
8	DUPAGE COUNTY EMERGENCY) TELEPHONE SYSTEM BOARD,)
9	Respondent.)
10	DISPUTE REGARDING U.S. POSTAL) ZIP CODES 60514 and 60527)
11	PURSUANT TO THE WIRELESS EMERGENCY TELEPHONE SAFETY ACT
12	(50 ICLS751)
13	Chicago, Illinois
14	February 8th, 2006
15	Met, pursuant to notice, at 10:00 a.m.
16	BEFORE:
17	TERRANCE A. HILLIARD, Administrative Law Judge
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	NEVILLE & MAHONEY, by MR. RONALD F. NEVILLE
3	MR. WILLIAM R. RAGEN 221 North LaSalle Street
4	Suite 2100 Chicago, IL 60601
5	(312)236-2100 for Southwest Central ETSB;
6	
7	BOND, DICKSON, & ASSOCIATES, by MR. PATRICK K. BOND MR. NEAL SMITH
8	203 East Liberty Drive Wheaton, IL 60157
9	(630)681-1000 for DuPage County ETSB;
10	-
11	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION, by MR. MATTHEW L. HARVEY 160 North LaSalle Street
12	Suite C-800 Chicago, IL 60601
13	(312)793-2877 for Staff.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by Devan J. Moore, CSR
22	License No. 084-004589

1	$\underline{I} \ \underline{N} \ \underline{D} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{X}$
2	Re- Re- By
3	<u>Witnesses:</u> <u>Direct Cross direct cross Examiner</u> Mr. Shanley
4	Ms. Hauptman Mr. Jannes
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	<u>E X H I B I T S</u>
10	Number For Identification In Evidence
11	Respondent's E, G, L, and F 433
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

- 1 JUDGE HILLIARD: Mr. Shanley's been previously
- 2 sworn. So go right ahead and ask your questions.
- WILLIAM D. SHANLEY,
- 4 called as a witness herein, having been previously
- 5 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
- 6 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 7 BY
- 8 MR. NEVILLE:
- 9 Q State your name, please.
- 10 A William D. Shanley, S-h-a-n-l-e-y.
- 11 Q You're the same William D. Shanley who
- 12 testified yesterday in this case?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 O During my examination of you, I asked you
- about costs associated with Southwest Central 9-1-1
- 16 ETSB providing wireless service; is that correct?
- 17 A That is correct.
- Q And your answer was approximately \$100,000?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q What did that \$100,000 relate to?
- 21 A That related to the initial start-up costs
- 22 and the services that were provided at that time. It

- 1 would have been 2001 after he got the letter of
- 2 authorization, and we started setting up to handle
- 3 wireless calls.
- 4 O What additional costs has Southwest Central
- 5 ETSB incurred due to wireless service? And what do
- 6 you expect it to incur?
- 7 A Approximately 40 percent of incoming 9-1-1
- 8 calls are wireless calls. When you equate that to
- 9 our -- and if you look at our budget, we spend in
- 10 excess of -- we spend about 40 percent of our budget
- in the handling of wireless calls, based upon the
- 12 call count that we have coming in. So when -- it's
- 13 not so much dollars and cents as much as the impact
- on the budget.
- Our income has gone up but so have our
- 16 expenses. And the initial 20 percent was
- 17 insignificant, as anybody will find in wireless, to
- 18 the ongoing costs associated with it. And that's
- 19 rendering approximately 40 percent a year.
- 20 O All right. And what is the budget?
- 21 A The budget is approximately \$800,000.
- 22 Q So is it fair to say that approximately 40

- 1 percent of \$800,000 is spent on Southwest Central
- 2 ETSB for wireless service?
- 3 A That would be fair, yes.
- 4 MR. NEVILLE: That's all, Judge.
- 5 JUDGE HILLIARD: Cross?
- 6 MR. BOND: Briefly.
- 7 FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- 8 BY
- 9 MR. BOND:
- 10 Q Of that 40 percent of your budget that you
- 11 testified goes to the wireless, a portion of that is
- dedicated to personnel; is that correct?
- 13 A Yes.
- Q Do you know what percentage of that
- 15 \$40,000, roughly, is attributable to personnel?
- MR. NEVILLE: It's 40 percent not 40,000.
- 17 MR. BOND: I thought it was 100,000. 40
- 18 percent of --
- 19 THE WITNESS: No. No, of the total budget, our
- wireless represents about 40 percent of an \$800,000
- 21 budget.

22

- 1 BY MR. BOND:
- Q Okay. And how much of that 40 percent of
- 3 the budget that is utilized for wireless is
- 4 attributable to personnel?
- 5 A I would only be making an estimate.
- 6 Approximately, 35 percent would be personnel
- 7 expenses -- I take that back. About that, about 30
- 8 percent.
- 9 Q So 30 percent is...
- 10 A Personnel.
- 11 Q Personnel.
- 12 And you know that the wireless monies
- 13 that are in dispute cannot be used for personnel; is
- 14 that correct? The wireless surcharge monies that's
- in dispute in this proceeding?
- 16 A I was unaware of that.
- 17 MR. BOND: I have nothing further.
- 18 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 19 BY
- 20 MR. NEVILLE:
- 21 Q The 35 percent, is that 35 percent of
- \$320,000? In other words, you said 40 percent of an

- 1 \$800,000 budget --
- 2 A Right.
- 3 Q -- that's \$320,000 approximately?
- 4 A Right.
- 5 Q All right. Of the -- when you say 35
- 6 percent goes to personnel, is that 35 percent of
- 7 \$320,000?
- 8 A It would be more like 40 percent of
- 9 \$300,000.
- 10 MR. NEVILLE: Okay. That's all.
- 11 JUDGE HILLIARD: Staff?
- MR. HARVEY: Nothing from Staff, your Honor.
- JUDGE HILLIARD: All right. Mr. Shanley,
- 14 you're excused.
- 15 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 16 MR. BOND: I call Nancy Hauptman,
- H-a-u-p-t-m-a-n.
- 18 And have you been sworn?
- 19 THE WITNESS: I've been sworn.
- MR. BOND: May I proceed, your Honor?
- 21 JUDGE HILLIARD: Sure.

22

- 1 NANCY HAUPTMAN,
- 2 called as a witness herein, having been previously
- 3 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
- 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 5 BY
- 6 MR. BOND:
- 7 Q Can you please state your name.
- 8 A My name is Nancy Hauptman, N-a-n-c-y. Last
- 9 name H-a-u-p-t-m-a-n.
- 10 Q And Ms. Hauptman, where do you currently
- 11 reside?
- 12 A My business address?
- 13 O Business address.
- 14 A 421 North County Farm Road; Wheaton,
- W-h-e-a-t-o-n, Illinois 60187.
- 16 Q And what is the highest level of education
- 17 that you have achieved?
- 18 A My highest level of education is a master's
- 19 in tax law with honors. I have a bachelor's degree
- 20 with a major in German and political science. I have
- 21 a master's in business administration. I have a
- 22 doctor of jurisprudence from Indiana University. I

- 1 recently completed my master's in tax law at Kent
- 2 with honors. And I also received the Cali award for
- 3 outstanding legal work in state and local taxation.
- 4 Q Where did you attend law school?
- 5 A Indiana University.
- 6 Q Have you received any awards or
- 7 certificates?
- JUDGE HILLIARD: That you haven't described
- 9 already.
- 10 THE WITNESS: I have previously described
- 11 those.
- 12 BY MR. BOND:
- 13 Q And could you describe your legal
- 14 experience.
- 15 A Yes. I've been a practicing attorney for
- over 27 years. I was the board attorney for the Lake
- 17 County Department of Public Welfare in Gary, Indiana.
- 18 Subsequently, I was appointed to the bench as a
- 19 magistrate in the Lake County Superior Court,
- 20 juvenile division in Gary, Indiana.
- 21 When I moved to Illinois, I was an
- 22 attorney in the legal division for the Illinois

- 1 Housing Department -- excuse me, the Illinois
- 2 Financing Housing Department. Subsequent to that
- 3 time, I became the attorney for the DuPage County
- 4 Board. I served in that capacity for 20 years. In
- 5 2003 I became employed full-time with the DuPage
- 6 County Emergency Telephone System Board, to the
- 7 present.
- 8 Q And you started out initially with the
- 9 9-1-1 Board in what capacity?
- 10 A I started out prior to the creation of the
- 11 DuPage County Emergency Telephone System Board. I
- was with the interim committee that worked in 1987
- 13 and 1988, prior to the referendum, to enable the
- 14 question for the 9-1-1 surcharge to appear in the
- 15 ballot.
- I have continuously worked with the
- 17 interim committee and the Emergency Telephone System
- 18 Board, which was its successor, up until the present
- 19 time.
- 20 Q And what was your capacity with the ETSB
- 21 once it was established?
- 22 A Once it was established, I was a board

- 1 member.
- 2 Q And you have retired from that service?
- 3 A Yes, I have. In approximately 1992, I no
- 4 longer served on the board. We had a reconfiguration
- 5 of our board. And then I serviced the board in a
- 6 staff capacity.
- 7 Q And then -- and your current capacity with
- 8 the Emergency Telephone System Board is what?
- 9 A System administrator.
- 10 Q So you have, in total, been affiliated with
- 11 the 9-1-1 and its predecessors since 1987?
- 12 A That is correct.
- 13 Q And are you familiar with the public
- 14 question referendum that established the DuPage
- 15 County Emergency Telephone System Board?
- 16 A Yes, I am.
- 17 Q I'm handing you what's been previously
- 18 marked as DuPage ETSB Exhibit E for identification,
- 19 and I ask you to take a look at that. Those were
- 20 part of the submittals (tendering).
- 21 A I've examined the document. This is the
- 22 referendum question that appeared on the ballot in

- 1 DuPage County, portions of Cook, Kane, and Will
- 2 Counties.
- 3 Q And are you familiar with the DuPage
- 4 Emergency Telephone System Act?
- 5 A Yes, I am.
- 6 Q And did the authority to establish the
- 7 DuPage ETSB originate from that?
- 8 A Yes, it did.
- 9 Q And is that as a basis of the corporate
- 10 authorities in DuPage County imposing the surcharge
- 11 for that establishment?
- 12 A Yes, it is.
- Q And that's -- essentially, the creation of
- 14 the ETSB occurred as a result of the successful
- 15 passage of the referendum back in 1989 to current?
- 16 A That is correct. Yes.
- 17 Q Do you know the date that the Emergency
- 18 Telephone System Board in DuPage County was formally
- 19 established?
- 20 A I do not recall the exact date. It was in
- 21 1989.
- 22 Q And is the Village of Willowbrook, as a

- 1 result of the 1989 referendum, a member of the DuPage
- 2 County ETSB?
- 3 A Yes, it is.
- 4 Q In other words, did the referendum question
- 5 that was -- that you testified to in Exhibit E, that
- 6 encompassed all the incorporated portions of
- 7 Willowbrook?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 O And in accordance with the 1989 referendum,
- 10 was the Village of Clarendon Hills a member of the
- 11 DuPage County ETSB?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 O And that was also as a result of that
- 14 referendum question appearing on the ballot within
- the incorporated boundaries of the Village of
- 16 Clarendon Hills; is that correct?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Do you know whether or not there has been
- 19 any referenda on a ballot within the incorporated
- 20 areas of the Village of Clarendon Hills or the
- 21 Village Willowbrook relative to an establishment of
- 22 an ETSB or the imposition of a surcharge?

- 1 A There has not been a question on the ballot
- 2 for Clarendon Hills or Willowbrook to establish a
- 9-1-11 surcharge.
- 4 Q I'm handing you what's been previously
- 5 marked as DuPage ETSB Exhibit G. And I ask you to
- 6 take a look at that. That also was previously
- 7 provided.
- 8 And do you recognize that which
- 9 purports to be a certification from the DuPage County
- 10 Election Commission?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And is -- that certification confirms what
- 13 your understanding is, that there has not been, on
- 14 official ballot, a proposition question in the
- 15 Village of Clarendon Hills or the Village of
- 16 Willowbrook with respect to the imposition of a
- 17 surcharge for 9-1-1 service or the establishment of a
- 18 9-1-1 system?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 MR. NEVILLE: Excuse me, did you mention
- 21 Willowbrook as related to Exhibit G?
- MR. BOND: Yes.

- 1 MR. NEVILLE: Okay.
- 2 BY MR. BOND:
- 3 Q In or around 1991 did the DuPage ETSB
- 4 desire to obtain dispatch services from Southwest
- 5 Central?
- 6 A Yes. Wireline dispatch service.
- 7 Q And the wireless wasn't in existence at the
- 8 time in 1991; is that correct?
- 9 A That is correct.
- 10 Q And did the DuPage ETSB negotiate with
- 11 Southwest Central for those dispatching services to
- 12 Willowbrook?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And, as a result of that, an agreement was
- 15 entered into between Southwest Central and DuPage
- 16 County ETSB?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q We previously admitted that into evidence.
- 19 But are you familiar with that agreement?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q In that agreement, was it ever the intent
- of the parties that Willowbrook would become a member

- of Southwest Central 9-1-1 System?
- 2 A No.
- 3 Q And was the -- as part of the contractual
- 4 arrangement, was Willowbrook considered the
- 5 third-party beneficiary to that contract?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And I think you testified to this, but I
- 8 want to clarify it.
- 9 Is it your testimony that the DuPage
- 10 County Emergency Telephone System Board is an
- 11 Emergency Telephone System Board established pursuant
- to the Emergency Telephone System Act 50 ILCS 751.01?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 O Does the DuPage County ETSB provide
- 15 equipment services, personnel facilities, and other
- items necessary for the implementation, operation,
- 17 maintenance and repair of a wireline and wireless
- 18 9-1-1 Emergency Telephone System within portions of
- 19 DuPage County?
- 20 A The ETSB -- the DuPage ETSB provides
- 21 equipment and services pursuant to the statute. The
- 22 DuPage County ETSB does not provide personnel.

- 1 Q And does the DuPage ETSB provide enhanced
- 2 9-1-1 wireline and wireless telephone services to its
- 3 members?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And, to your knowledge, who provides
- 6 wireless 9-1-1 Emergency Telephone Service to the
- 7 areas within the zip codes 60514 and 60527?
- 8 A The DuPage County ETSB.
- 9 Q And just for the record, zip codes 60514
- and 60527, those encompass Willowbrook and Clarendon
- 11 Hills?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Could you describe the footprint, or the
- 14 9-1-1 jurisdictional boundaries, for the DuPage
- 15 County ETSB?
- 16 A The DuPage County ETSB includes all of
- 17 DuPage County except the incorporated portions of
- 18 Burr Ridge and the incorporated portions of
- 19 Naperville.
- The DuPage County ETSB also includes
- 21 the incorporated portions of Roselle that are in Cook
- 22 County, Hinsdale in Cook County, a small portion of

- 1 Oak Brook in Cook County, the Village of Wayne that
- 2 is located in DuPage and Kane Counties, the Village
- 3 of Woodridge that is also located in the County of
- 4 Will.
- In essence, the borders of the DuPage
- 6 County ETSB extends beyond the geographical confines
- 7 of the county outline. That is all pursuant to the
- 8 referendum questions -- question that appeared on the
- 9 ballot in those municipalities.
- 10 Q And why is it that Naperville and Burr
- 11 Ridge are excluded from the jurisdiction of the
- 12 DuPage ETSB?
- 13 A Naperville and Burr Ridge conducted their
- 14 own referendum question on the issue of surcharge.
- 15 Q And as a result of that referendum
- 16 question, they established their own surcharge --
- imposed their own surcharge and established their own
- 18 ETSB?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Were you involved in the legislation that
- 21 established surcharge for 9-1-1 enhanced wireless
- 22 services?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And what was your involvement in that
- 3 legislation?
- 4 A I worked in a capacity as staff and
- 5 reviewed draft versions of the wireless legislation.
- 6 Q In fact, you had some hand in drafting that
- 7 legislation portion of the legislation, did you not?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And you also served in a consulting
- 10 capacity to provide some technical expertise both in
- 11 your legal background and your 9-1-1 background for
- 12 that legislation?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 JUDGE HILLIARD: To whom?
- 15 THE WITNESS: There was an interim committee
- 16 created through the legislature. And hearings were
- 17 conducted by that interim committee statewide.
- 18 JUDGE HILLIARD: So you provided consulting
- 19 services to the committee?
- 20 THE WITNESS: No, to the representatives from
- 21 DuPage County who served on that committee. More
- 22 specifically, the DuPage County Sheriff was appointed

- 1 by the state -- I believe the governor made that
- 2 appointment at that point in time -- and I served in
- 3 a capacity to advise him while he served on that
- 4 committee.
- 5 JUDGE HILLIARD: Okay.
- 6 BY MR. BOND:
- 7 Q And you also assisted, did you not, in
- 8 the -- some of the specific language that is
- 9 currently incorporated in the Wireless Act; is that
- 10 correct?
- 11 A That is correct.
- 12 Q And what prompted, if you know, the
- 13 necessity for the 9-1-1 legislation?
- 14 A There were costs incurred with the
- provisioning of wireless 9-1-1 services to the
- 16 answering points. There were equipment charges. And
- 17 at that time there was also an interim 9-1-1 wireless
- 18 surcharge -- or tariff would be -- tariff, excuse me.
- 19 It was an interim tariff.
- 20 Those costs needed a revenue stream to
- 21 enable the answering points and the 9-1-1 boards to
- 22 pay for the wireless 9-1-1. Phone systems had to be

- 1 upgraded to be able to handle the displays of the
- 2 wireless information transmitted by the caller, and
- 3 communities needed that money to be able to provide
- 4 that service to the 9-1-1 callers.
- 5 So that was the purpose of seeking the
- 6 surcharge on wireless subscribers.
- 7 O And it was the opinion that the surcharge
- 8 that was imposed in 1989 in DuPage, and many other
- 9 communities throughout state, that those monies could
- 10 not be used in connection with wireless telephone
- 11 services?
- MR. NEVILLE: Judge, at this point I'm going to
- 13 object. What she's now engaging in is hearsay.
- 14 She's going to testify to what the legislature deemed
- to be appropriate, what their reasoning was behind
- 16 what they did. And despite the fact that she may
- 17 have been there, it doesn't stop it from being
- 18 hearsay.
- 19 It's improper for someone to come into
- 20 a hearing such as this, or a courtroom, and begin to
- 21 roam into the area of what legislatures intended and
- 22 what their purposes were. You look to the

- 1 legislation for that answer.
- MR. BOND: Judge, to the extent that, A, she
- 3 just testified as to her extensive experience; and,
- 4 B, that to her knowledge or what she knows as to what
- 5 prompted the wireless legislation, I think that's
- 6 within the purview of this witness to testify to.
- 7 And, if I can, I'll make an offer of
- 8 proof that there was a determination made that these
- 9 surcharge monies were designed for LAN line 9-1-1
- 10 services; that if they were -- and it was a surcharge
- 11 or tax being imposed. If those monies were being
- 12 used for another purpose that was inconsistent with
- 13 the concept of taxation, you have to tax for a
- 14 purpose and you have to use those tax monies for that
- 15 purpose.
- 16 This sort of legislation, I think they
- 17 would testify, was a result of their -- there was
- 18 some legal issues raised with respect to the ability
- of 9-1-1 boards to use the LAN line surcharge to
- 20 service this -- and you have to understand back in
- 21 1989 cell phones were not -- the volume of calls they
- 22 are now, but they were emerging at that point in

- 1 discussions for legislation -- that these had to be
- 2 accommodated, and this was this mechanism by which
- 3 that could be accomplished.
- 4 MR. HARVEY: I hate to throw in my order, your
- 5 Honor; but I think Mr. Neville is probably right.
- 6 I've done fairly extensive research on this.
- 7 Legislative intent comes first from
- 8 the language of the statute; next from extraneous
- 9 factors, such as the legislative debates and prior
- 10 versions of the bill. And that pretty much is it.
- I -- there is case law out there that
- 12 says -- and here in Illinois, that says that even
- 13 members of the general -- individual members of the
- 14 general assembly cannot be heard on what legislative
- intent was after the enactment of the bill. I mean,
- 16 it's --
- 17 JUDGE HILLIARD: I've done some work on this in
- 18 the past myself. And I agree with Mr. Harvey.
- 19 You can make an offer of proof if you
- 20 want, but I'm excluding the evidence for purposes of
- 21 this hearing.
- MR. BOND: I would just then ask the Court to

- 1 take judicial notice of the Wireless Telephone System
- 2 Act. In particular, Section 50/ILCS 751/5 which
- 3 provides that, "Public safety agencies and wireless
- 4 carriers are encouraged to work together to provide
- 5 emergency access to wireless 9-1-1 and wireless
- 6 E9-1-1 service.
- 7 Public safety agencies and wireless
- 8 carriers operating wireless 9-1-1 and wireless E9-1-1
- 9 systems require adequate funding to recover the costs
- 10 of designing, purchasing, installing, testing and
- 11 operating enhanced facilities systems, and services
- necessary to comply with the wireless E9-1-1
- 13 requirements mandated by the Federal Communications
- 14 Commission and maximize the availability of E9-1-1
- 15 services throughout the state of Illinois."
- 16 I think that's essentially -- the
- 17 purpose for her testimony was to show that that was
- 18 what we're doing. I was not attempting to provide
- 19 Ms. Hauptman to give an overview of legislative
- 20 intent. I, myself, was one of the drafters of the
- 21 legislation. I can provide a great deal of insight,
- but that's not what we're here to do. I don't think

- 1 that it's necessary beyond what I've just provided to
- 2 you.
- JUDGE HILLIARD: Okay. All right. We can take
- 4 administrative notice of the statute. It's 50/ILCS
- 5 751, as Counsel has noted.
- 6 MR. BOND: Thank you, Judge.
- 7 BY MR. BOND:
- 8 Q Did the wireless statute -- the Wireless
- 9 Act in any way alter the jurisdiction, or footprint,
- of an existing Emergency Telephone System Board?
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q Does the DuPage County ETSB contract --
- 13 well, strike that.
- 14 Is the jurisdiction for the wireless
- 15 9-1-1 ETSB identical to that of the LAN line ETSB?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And, to your knowledge, are all of the
- 18 addresses within the wireless 9-1-1 jurisdiction of
- 19 the DuPage ETSB contained in the Master Street
- 20 Address Guide, or the MSAG, of DuPage County ETSB?
- 21 A Yes.
- Q And, to your knowledge, are the postal zip

- 1 codes 60527 and 60514 located within the DuPage
- 2 County ETSB MSAG?
- 3 A Yes.
- 5 make changes to an MSAG?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 O Who is that?
- 8 A For DuPage County the previous witness,
- 9 Vidas Germanas.
- 10 Q Does anyone -- any other individual or
- 11 agency posses the authority to make changes to the
- 12 DuPage County MSAG?
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q Has Southwest Central provided enhanced
- wireline 9-1-1 telephone service or calls originating
- 16 within the incorporated boundaries of Willowbrook
- 17 since about 1992?
- 18 MR. NEVILLE: I apologize, I didn't hear you.
- 19 Can you please restate it?
- MR. BOND: Sure.

21

22 BY MR. NEVILLE:

- 1 Q Has Southwest Central provided enhanced
- 2 wireline 9-1-1 telephone service for all wireline
- 3 9-1-1 calls originating within the incorporated
- 4 boundaries of Willowbrook?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q They've done that since approximately 1991
- 7 or '92?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And has Southwest Central provided enhanced
- 10 wireline 9-1-1 telephone service for all wireline
- 11 9-1-1 calls originating within the incorporated
- boundaries of Clarendon Hills since about 1993?
- 13 A Yes. Pursuant to a contract.
- 14 O And in 1991 DuPage ETSB filed -- well, both
- 15 DuPage ETSB and Southwest Central ETSB filed their
- 16 amended plans with the Illinois Commerce Commission
- 17 seeking to allow Southwest Central to directly
- 18 receive and dispatch wireline 9-1-1 calls originating
- 19 within incorporated Willowbrook; is that correct?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And that was a result of the contract
- 22 between Southwest Central and DuPage?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And in that 1991 contract, was that amended
- 3 in 1993 to include Willowbrook and Clarendon Hills?
- 4 Is that correct?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And, again, the parties sought to amend the
- 7 wireline plans to account for that modification?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q There was a modification of the service
- 10 territory; is that correct?
- 11 A That is correct.
- 12 Q And is the modification of a service
- 13 territory the same as a modification of a
- 14 jurisdictional territory or boundary?
- 15 A No --
- MR. NEVILLE: Judge, there is an awful lot of
- 17 leading going on here to some --
- 18 JUDGE HILLIARD: What?
- 19 MR. NEVILLE: A lot of leading to some extent.
- 20 JUDGE HILLIARD: If you want to make an
- 21 objection to a particular question, go ahead. I
- 22 mean, he's --

- 1 MR. NEVILLE: Well, I'm objecting at this point
- 2 to the leading. The leading before were facts that
- 3 have been established, so that wasn't a concern. Now
- 4 we're getting into an area where --
- 5 JUDGE HILLIARD: Try --
- 6 MR. BOND: I was just trying to save some time,
- 7 Judge.
- JUDGE HILLIARD: She's a very articulate
- 9 witness. I don't think there's a...
- 10 BY MR. BOND:
- 11 Q What is a service territory?
- 12 A That is the area that is served for 9-1-1
- 13 call-taking purposes.
- 14 O And what is a jurisdictional territory or
- 15 jurisdictional boundary?
- 16 A Jurisdictional boundary is the political
- 17 outline of the unit of government.
- 18 Q Are those two one and the same?
- 19 A No.
- JUDGE HILLIARD: You know what, we probably got
- 21 to break now so I can go to my meeting.
- 22 (Whereupon, a recess was taken.)

- 1 JUDGE HILLIARD: Please continue the
- 2 examination.
- 3 BY MR. BOND:
- 4 Q Okay. Referring to the 1993 contract,
- 5 which has been previously admitted as an exhibit, did
- 6 the DuPage ETSB relinquish its jurisdiction over
- 7 Willowbrook or Clarendon Hills?
- 8 A No.
- 9 MR. NEVILLE: I object to that, Judge. That's
- 10 a legal conclusion.
- JUDGE HILLIARD: She's a lawyer.
- MR. NEVILLE: Well, lawyers cannot testify to
- 13 legal conclusions. And I have case law to that
- 14 effect. No one but the Judge can make decisions with
- 15 regard to legal conclusions. Be it an attorney or
- 16 not, you're only a witness and that's improper.
- MR. BOND: Well, Judge, she's indicated her
- 18 extensive experience with the 9-1-1. She's also
- 19 testified as to her legal experience. She served in
- 20 the capacity as a legal representative for the 9-1-1.
- 21 She's also participated in the contracts that are at
- 22 issue that I asked the question about.

- 1 MR. HARVEY: If I might, maybe the question
- 2 that she can answer is, Did the DuPage County ETSB
- 3 intend to relinquish jurisdiction --
- 4 JUDGE HILLIARD: Why don't you rephrase --
- 5 MR. HARVEY: -- by executing the contract.
- 6 JUDGE HILLIARD: Rephrase it, please.
- 7 BY MR. BOND:
- 8 Q Okay. In the 1993 contract, did the DuPage
- 9 County ETSB intend to relinquish its jurisdiction
- 10 over Willowbrook and Clarendon Hills?
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q In the contract, did the parties state
- 13 their intention as to the status of Willowbrook and
- 14 Clarendon Hills?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And what was that status?
- 17 A That Clarendon Hills and Willowbrook are
- 18 members of the DuPage County ETSB. They are not
- 19 members of the Southwest Central ETSB. And Clarendon
- 20 Hills and Willowbrook are only contract members of
- 21 Southwest Central Dispatch.
- Q Are you familiar with the term "contract

- 1 members " as being references to any of the wireline
- 2 or wireless acts?
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q In that contract, Willowbrook and Clarendon
- 5 Hills are both determined to be third-party
- 6 beneficiaries to the contract?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And on June 23, 1993, to your knowledge,
- 9 did the ICC approve the modifications to the service
- 10 territories requested by DuPage ETSB and Southwest
- 11 Central?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q In your opinion, did that alter the
- 14 jurisdictional boundaries of them?
- 15 A No.
- 16 MR. NEVILLE: Objection, Judge. That is a
- 17 legal conclusion.
- JUDGE HILLIARD: I think that's right.
- 19 Sustained.
- 20 BY MR. BOND:
- 21 Q Does the agreement refer in any way to
- 22 jurisdiction?

- 1 A No.
- 3 modification of the respective plans, ever made
- 4 reference or indicated anything with respect to
- 5 jurisdiction?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q Why was jurisdiction not referenced in
- 8 the -- either in the contract, in the plan, or in the
- 9 ICC order?
- 10 A When I --
- 11 MR. NEVILLE: Objection to that, Judge.
- 12 JUDGE HILLIARD: Sustained.
- 13 MR. BOND: Well, Judge, I'm not asking here
- 14 what the jurisdiction is. I'm asking her why that
- 15 particular term was not a provision in the contract.
- JUDGE HILLIARD: Well, you can ask her why
- 17 DuPage didn't put it in the contract.
- MR. BOND: But the contract was between DuPage
- 19 and Southwest Central.
- 20 JUDGE HILLIARD: But she doesn't know what
- 21 Southwest Central's intention was.
- 22 BY MR. BOND:

- 1 Q But I think you testified that -- the term
- 2 "jurisdiction" was not referenced in the agreement at
- 3 all; is that correct?
- 4 A That is correct.
- 5 Q Has Southwest Central since 1992 provided a
- 6 wireline 9-1-1 telephone service for all wireline
- 7 9-1-1 calls originating within the incorporated
- 8 boundaries of Willowbrook?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Has Southwest Central 9-1-1 since 1993 up
- 11 through and to the present time provided or supplied
- wireline 9-1-1 telephone service for all wireline
- 13 9-1-1 calls originating within the incorporated
- 14 boundaries of Clarendon Hills?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And the wireline 9-1-1 calls originating
- 17 within incorporated Willowbrook and incorporated
- 18 Clarendon Hills are directly received by Southwest
- 19 Central and dispatched from the inception of the call
- to the end of the emergency?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q The wireless calls, however, originating

- 1 within the incorporated areas of Willowbrook and the
- 2 incorporated areas of Clarendon Hills, where are
- 3 those -- how are those answered and received?
- 4 A Those are answered by the DuPage County
- 5 Wireless 9-1-1 Center in Wheaton, Illinois.
- 6 Q And they are not received directly by
- 7 Southwest Central?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q After the enactment of the Wireless Act,
- 10 did DuPage ETSB file a wireless plan as required by
- 11 statute with the ICC?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q I'm handing you what's been previously
- 14 marked as Exhibit L for identification. And I ask
- 15 you to take a look at that. Those are the copies
- 16 that have been previously tendered to the parties.
- 17 A Yes, that is the wireless plan filed by
- 18 DuPage County ETSB and submitted to the ICC.
- 19 Q Directing your attention to what -- page 5
- 20 of the plan, it says, "In the matter of petition --
- 21 this is actually the petition of the ETSB.
- MR. NEVILLE: Pat, I'm sorry, what page are we

- 1 looking at?
- 2 MR. BOND: It's page 5.
- THE WITNESS: 5.
- 4 BY MR. BOND:
- 5 Q Schedule A, the narrative schedule to the
- 6 petition itself.
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 MR. BOND: Do you have that?
- 9 MR. NEVILLE: I do. I have it now, yes.
- 10 BY MR. BOND:
- 11 Q And that is a -- provides a narrative --
- 12 this schedule provides a narrative explanation of the
- jurisdictional boundaries of the DuPage ETSB?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And, specifically, with respect to -- if
- 16 you can look on page 6, with respect to -- I'm sorry,
- 17 page 7, Section 1.107. It's got a reference in there
- 18 to the Southwest Central.
- 19 A Are you referring to Section 1.07?
- 20 0 1.07.
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And what was the provision of the DuPage

- 1 plan as it related to calls within the postal zip
- 2 codes for Clarendon Hills and Willowbrook?
- 3 A The wireless 9-1-1 calls originating in
- 4 Clarendon Hills and Willowbrook will be answered by
- 5 the DuPage Sheriff's Office.
- 6 Q And where were those wireless surcharges
- 7 from those zip codes to be remitted in DuPage?
- 8 A To the DuPage County Emergency Telephone
- 9 System Board.
- 10 Q And consistent with the plan submitted to
- 11 the ICC and -- well, was that plan approved?
- 12 A Yes. The plan was submitted to the ICC on
- 13 October 10th of 2000. And on December 7th, 2000,
- 14 S. Rick Gasferon (phonetic), 9-1-1 program director,
- 15 advised the DuPage County ETSB that the plan had been
- 16 approved.
- 17 Q And that's the first page of Exhibit L, the
- 18 letter of December 7th from Gasferon?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Consistent with the plan submitted and
- 21 approved by the ICC, have the wireless 9-1-1 calls
- originating in Willowbrook and Clarendon Hills been

- directly received by the Sheriff's Office?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And after they're received, they're
- 4 dispatched to -- within the incorporated areas of
- 5 Willowbrook and Clarendon Hills, who are those then
- 6 dispatched to?
- 7 A The calls are transferred to Southwest
- 8 Central.
- 9 Q Prior to the enactment and since
- 10 approximately 1994, who was handling the wireless
- 11 9-1-1 calls within the incorporated areas of
- 12 Willowbrook and Clarendon Hills?
- 13 A DuPage County Sheriff.
- 14 O When those calls are transferred to
- 15 Southwest Central, is Southwest Central -- what is
- 16 Southwest Central's status, or capacity, at that
- 17 time?
- 18 A Could you rephrase the question.
- 19 Q Does Southwest Central serve in a capacity
- 20 as a dispatch center at that juncture for those
- 21 calls?
- 22 A Yes.

- 1 Q To your knowledge, has the receipt of
- 2 the -- the direct receipt of wireless 9-1-1 calls by
- 3 the DuPage County Sheriff's Office from incorporated
- 4 Willowbrook or incorporated Clarendon Hills ever
- 5 resulted in some compromising safety or unacceptable
- 6 safety issue?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q Would you have been made aware of it had
- 9 such an incident existed?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 MR. NEVILLE: Objection to that unless you lay
- 12 a foundation.
- MR. BOND: I'll lay a foundation.
- 14 JUDGE HILLIARD: Broad foundation.
- MR. BOND: Okay.
- 16 BY MR. BOND:
- 17 Q In your role and capacity -- your current
- 18 role or capacity with the DuPage County ETSB and your
- 19 previous role and capacity of the DuPage County ETSB,
- 20 did those -- did your position include assessing and
- 21 evaluating the effectiveness of the operation?
- 22 A Yes.

- 1 O And if there were situations where the
- 2 operation needed to be remedied or equipment was
- 3 necessary or there was some glitch, would that be
- 4 made known to you?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And have you become aware of any incidents
- 7 where there was a glitch or problem with the
- 8 transmission of a call, wireless 9-1-1 call, received
- 9 from incorporated Clarendon Hills or Willowbrook?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q What is your understanding is the required
- order for grant funds to be released to Southwest
- 13 Central?
- MR. NEVILLE: Objection, Judge, he's asking for
- 15 a legal conclusion.
- 16 MR. BOND: I'm asking her what her
- 17 understanding is.
- MR. NEVILLE: Well, it doesn't make any
- 19 difference, Judge. "Understanding" is asking for a
- 20 legal conclusion. You're to make that decision here.
- 21 That's your job, not a lawyer to come in here and
- 22 start giving legal conclusions with regard to a

- 1 statute.
- 2 And I'll tell you another thing that
- 3 it's going to lead to is an interpretation of the
- 4 statute. She -- no way can this woman tell you how
- 5 it should be done without interpreting the statute --
- 6 MR. BOND: Judge --
- 7 MR. NEVILLE: -- and that's forbidden.
- 8 MR. BOND: Judge, to suggest that is to say we
- 9 shouldn't present any evidence. We can present
- 10 evidence, and you can assess it and provide it the
- 11 way you deem appropriate.
- 12 We believe it is a legal issue. It's
- 13 going to need legal resolution. I've asked her what
- 14 her understanding was of how that is accomplished and
- 15 what facts that she has on that basis. I think it's
- 16 something to assist the Court in making a
- 17 determination as to ascribing the proper
- interpretation of the statute.
- 19 MR. NEVILLE: I'm prepared to cite cases,
- Judge, that that's not admissible testimony.
- JUDGE HILLIARD: Well, what was the question?

- 1 (Whereupon, the record was read
- 2 as requested.)
- JUDGE HILLIARD: Well, I think that in a
- 4 capacity as administrator she might have an
- 5 understanding of how the procedure works. She can
- 6 answer that.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Southwest Central is not the ETSB
- 8 for Clarendon Hills and Willowbrook. The funds
- 9 should go to the ETSB -- DuPage County ETSB.
- 10 JUDGE HILLIARD: The question was --
- 11 THE WITNESS: The procedure?
- 12 JUDGE HILLIARD: The procedure.
- 13 THE WITNESS: In order for Southwest Central to
- 14 receive any funds from the zip code 60514 or 60527, a
- zip code agreement would need to be executed between
- 16 the DuPage County ETSB and Southwest Central ETSB.
- 17 BY MR. BOND:
- 18 Q Has such an agreement been executed?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q Has an attempt at negotiating an agreement
- 21 occurred?
- 22 A No.

- 1 Q Has there been any negotiations between
- 2 DuPage ETSB and Southwest Central ETSB relative to
- 3 the surcharge monies for the zip codes within
- 4 incorporated Clarendon Hills and incorporated
- 5 Willowbrook?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And that never resulted in an agreement; is
- 8 that correct?
- 9 A That is correct.
- 10 Q Is the public safety in incorporated
- 11 Willowbrook and incorporated Clarendon Hills in any
- way impacted by the DuPage ETSB receiving the calls?
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q To your knowledge -- well, let me ask you
- 15 this:
- Is there a -- has the DuPage ETSB been
- 17 assessed a tariff in connection with wireless 9-1-1
- 18 phase 1 and phase 2 operations?
- 19 A Yes. The DuPage County ETSB paid the
- 20 interim tariff in the early 1990s prior to the formal
- 21 tariff approved by the ICC for SBC.
- Q Has DuPage County paid all tariffs due

- 1 under Tariff No. 20, Part 8, Section 7?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And was the population figure for
- 4 incorporated Willowbrook and incorporated Clarendon
- 5 Hills included within the tariff paid by the DuPage
- 6 ETSB?
- 7 A Yes. SBC submitted population figures to
- 8 the DuPage County ETSB. Included in SBC's population
- 9 figures were the Villages of Clarendon Hills and
- 10 Willowbrook. Those tariffs have been paid.
- 11 Q Okay. To your knowledge, what is the total
- value of the equipment that the DuPage ETSB uses in
- 13 connection with their wireless 9-1-1 system?
- 14 A The DuPage County ETSB constructed and
- 15 funded equipment for a wireless PSAP at a cost of
- 16 \$1,320,908.
- 17 Q And is that part of the infrastructure
- 18 costs of operating and maintaining such a system?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Are there any other costs that the DuPage
- 21 County ETSB incurs in connection with the operation
- of that system?

- 1 A Yes. The DuPage County ETSB has
- 2 constructed a high-speed optical connection between
- 3 all of our public safety answering points, our police
- 4 agencies to the DuPage County Sheriff. It's called a
- 5 SONET, S-O-N-E-T, ring in conjunction with SBC at a
- 6 cost of over \$3 million. Included as part of that
- 7 project was another \$3,190,504 for the accompanying
- 8 recordkeeping system to capture the 9-1-1 data out of
- 9 the call system along with the computer-aided
- 10 dispatch center -- system. I'm sorry, not center.
- 11 System. Thank you.
- 12 Q And does that system allow for there to be
- 13 access and communication between and among public
- 14 safety agencies?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 O Does it also allow the communication with
- 17 other governmental agencies such as the Circuit Court
- 18 Clerk's Office in the event of criminal matters?
- 19 A Yes. The entire SONET system, record
- 20 system, the CAD system, from the inception of that
- 21 9-1-1 call, all the valuable data is captured and is
- 22 seamlessly transmitted throughout the entire 18th

- 1 Judicial Circuit.
- 2 Q And the surcharge monies at issue, what
- 3 would those monies be used for upon release?
- 4 A Those monies will be used, one, to pay the
- 5 tariff that the DuPage County ETSB has already paid
- 6 for Willowbrook and Clarendon Hills. They will be
- 7 used to maintain the phone system, the computer-aided
- 8 dispatch system. And currently Willowbrook pays to
- 9 the ETSB \$468 a month because they want to obtain
- 10 access to the SONET ring, the high-speed network, and
- 11 access to the 9-1-1 data.
- 12 Clarendon Hills could not -- or did
- 13 not pay the funds and have no access to the SONET
- 14 ring. Upon release of the surcharge monies, the
- monies paid by Willowbrook, 12 payments of \$468, will
- 16 be refunded. That is a \$3 million contract. The
- 17 installation will be made at Clarendon Hills, and
- 18 Willowbrook will continue to receive the SONET
- 19 services for free to enable them to have access to
- 20 the CAD system, the record system, the automatic jail
- 21 interface to that system, and to the Circuit Court
- 22 Clerk.

- 1 Q I'm handing you what's been previously
- 2 marked as DuPage ETSB Exhibit F.
- 3 MR. NEVILLE: Which exhibit is it?
- 4 MR. BOND: Exhibit F.
- 5 THE WITNESS: F.
- 6 JUDGE HILLIARD: Thank you.
- 7 BY MR. BOND:
- 8 Q I ask you to take a look at that.
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Do you recognize that?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And what do you recognize that to be?
- 13 A This is a report of the 9-1-1 referendum
- 14 question posted on the ballot in various
- 15 municipalities and counties throughout the state of
- 16 Illinois.
- 17 JUDGE HILLIARD: What's the source of this
- 18 document?
- 19 BY MR. BOND:
- 20 Q Do you know where this document is
- 21 maintained?
- 22 A I believe the ICC publishes that annually

- 1 in a report on the status of 9-1-1 service in the
- 2 state of Illinois.
- 3 O And it's also available on the ICC Web
- 4 site?
- 5 A That is my understanding, yes.
- 6 Q And there is no referendum that's referred
- 7 to in this exhibit with respect to Clarendon Hills or
- 8 Willowbrook; is that right?
- 9 A Could I please look at it again.
- 10 Q (Tendering.)
- 11 A No, I do not see Clarendon Hills or
- 12 Willowbrook.
- 13 Q Is it your testimony that the surcharge
- 14 are -- surcharge monies are necessary to defray those
- 15 costs that you previously testified to?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 MR. BOND: I have nothing further.
- 18 JUDGE HILLIARD: Cross? Are you going to admit
- 19 these exhibits?
- 20 MR. BOND: Yes, Judge. I move to admit Exhibit
- 21 E, which consists of certification from Robert P.
- 22 Sahr (phonetic) regarding the 1989 referendum

- 1 question. I move for the --
- MR. HARVEY: I've got G and F, as well.
- 3 MR. BOND: Yeah. I move for the admission of
- 4 Exhibit G, which is the certification from Robert
- 5 Sahr of the DuPage County Election Commission
- 6 regarding there being no valid referendum questions
- 7 for Clarendon Hills or Willowbrook.
- 8 I would move for the admission of
- 9 Exhibit F, which is the recitation from the ICC's
- 10 documents regarding the communities which have had
- 11 referendum in connection with 9-1-1 surcharges.
- 12 I move for the admission of Exhibit L,
- 13 which is the wireless plan of the DuPage County ETSB.
- 14 JUDGE HILLIARD: Objections?
- MR. NEVILLE: The only objection I would have
- is to F. And if it's only being offered to show that
- 17 Willowbrook and Clarendon did not have a referendum,
- 18 I have no objection.
- 19 MR. BOND: That's the only purpose for it,
- 20 Judge.
- JUDGE HILLIARD: Okay. Exhibits E, G, L, and F
- 22 will be admitted.

- 1 (Whereupon, Respondent's
- Exhibits E, G, L, and F were
- admitted into evidence.)
- 4 JUDGE HILLIARD: Cross?
- 5 MR. NEVILLE: Yes, sir.
- 6 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 7 BY
- 8 MR. NEVILLE:
- 9 Q Now, you indicated in your testimony that
- 10 the zip 60514 and 60527 are geographically located
- 11 within the boundaries of DuPage; is that correct?
- 12 A Yes. However, I believe 605- -- I'm having
- a hard time hearing you. I believe you said 60527
- 14 may extend into another county.
- 15 Q But at least we know that Willowbrook and
- 16 Clarendon Hills are involved in 60514 and 60527?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 O There's no issue about that?
- 19 A Not to my knowledge.
- 20 Q And you would agree that Southwest Central
- 21 ETSB is responsible for the wireline dispatching for
- 22 9-1-1 wireline calls to Clarendon Hills and

- 1 Willowbrook?
- 2 A No.
- 3 Q They're not responsible for the wireline --
- 4 A No. DuPage County ETSB is responsible.
- 5 Q Does DuPage have authority from the ICC to
- 6 dispatch for Clarendon Hills and Willowbrook for
- 7 wireline?
- 8 A Originally, when the first plan --
- 9 Q I'm not asking you "originally". I'm
- 10 asking you --
- 11 A At the present time, no.
- 12 Q And since 1993 to the present time, isn't
- 13 it true that only Southwest Central ETSB has
- 14 authority from the ICC to receive and dispatch
- 15 wireline calls emanating from Willowbrook and
- 16 Clarendon Hills?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Now, you had indicated that certain costs
- 19 are incurred with regard to maintaining --
- 20 implementing and maintaining a wireless system; is
- 21 that correct?
- 22 A Yes.

- 1 Q You would agree that Southwest Central ETSB
- 2 also incurs costs for maintaining and implementing a
- 3 wireless system?
- 4 A I have no knowledge of their costs.
- 5 Q Do you think it would be free?
- 6 MR. BOND: Object- --
- 7 JUDGE HILLIARD: You're arguing with the
- 8 witness. You've had your -- you've introduced
- 9 testimony there are costs.
- 10 MR. BOND: And we stipulated there are costs.
- 11 JUDGE HILLIARD: That's correct.
- 12 BY MR. NEVILLE:
- 13 Q You testified that the copy of the MSAG was
- 14 introduced -- DuPage MSAG was introduced at the
- 15 hearing; correct? I forgot the exhibit number,
- 16 but it was --
- 17 MR. BOND: R.
- 18 BY MR. NEVILLE:
- 19 Q R. Do you recall that?
- 20 A No. Could you please ask your question
- 21 again.
- 22 Q Sure. Do you recall that Exhibit R was

- 1 introduced into evidence at this hearing?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And that was a copy of the DuPage MSAG;
- 4 correct?
- 5 A If you could show me Exhibit R, it would
- 6 refresh my memory.
- 7 Q Okay.
- 8 MR. NEVILLE: Do you have a copy close by you
- 9 can show her?
- 10 MR. RAGEN: (Tendering.)
- 11 THE WITNESS: Thank you. Yes, Exhibit R is the
- 12 DuPage MSAG.
- 13 BY MR. NEVILLE:
- 14 O But Exhibit R is the current MSAG, is it
- 15 not?
- 16 A Yes, that is correct.
- 17 Q Prior to June of 2005, the DuPage MSAG did
- 18 not contain the ESN numbers for Clarendon Hills and
- 19 Willowbrook; isn't that correct?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And the ESN numbers for Clarendon Hills and
- 22 Willowbrook were contained in the Southwest Central

- 1 ETSB MSAG; isn't that correct?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And you agree that an ESN is a routing --
- 4 allows for routing of 9-1-1 call- -- wireline 9-1-1
- 5 calls?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And would you agree also that the street --
- 8 the address range is the -- the high/low address
- 9 ranges for Clarendon Hills and Willowbrook were also
- in Southwest Central's 9-1-1 MSAG?
- 11 A For incorporated Clarendon Hills --
- 12 O And Willowbrook.
- 13 A -- and incorporated Willowbrook, yes.
- 14 O So the Southwest Central ETSB's MSAG, prior
- 15 to June of 2005, contained the address information,
- 16 range information, with regard to Clarendon Hills and
- 17 Willowbrook as well as the ESN numbers for
- 18 Willowbrook; is that fair?
- 19 A In June 2005 it did. I have no knowledge
- 20 as to what point prior.
- 21 Q Okay. You testified that you were familiar
- with Southwest Central Dispatch's Exhibit 11?

- 1 A Could you please show it to me.
- 2 MR. RAGEN: (Tendering.)
- 3 THE WITNESS: Yes, I am familiar with Exhibit
- 4 11.
- 5 BY MR. BOND:
- 6 Q All right. And that was signed by the
- 7 chairman of the Emergency Telephone System Board in
- 8 May of 1993?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Okay. That contract does say, does it not,
- 11 that the Southwest Central 9-1-1 system shall be
- 12 responsible for maintenance of the Master Street
- 13 Address Guide for the Village of Willowbrook and
- 14 Clarendon Hills?
- 15 A Could you direct me to that section,
- 16 please.
- 17 Q Certainly. That would be page 5, paragraph
- 18 5?
- 19 A Yes.
- Q It does it state that, does it not?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Now, you testified, if I understood you

- 1 correctly -- and correct me if I'm wrong -- that the
- 2 only way that surcharge monies -- wireless surcharge
- 3 grant money can be paid out in this case is if DuPage
- 4 and Southwest Central ETSB enter into a written
- 5 agreement. Is that your testimony?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q Okay. You do agree that the issue of who
- 8 gets the wireless surcharge grant money can be
- 9 resolved by the Wireless Act?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And, in fact, that's what this proceeding
- 12 is for?
- 13 A Could you please ask the question again?
- 14 O Sure.
- MR. BOND: I'm going to object. I mean, he
- 16 objected to her --
- JUDGE HILLIARD: Yeah, you're asking for a
- 18 legal conclusion on the part of the witness here,
- 19 Mr. Neville. I think I can sustain the objection.
- 20 MR. HARVEY: I think we all do agree that
- 21 that's what this proceeding is.
- JUDGE HILLIARD: Yeah, we do; but --

- 1 MR. NEVILLE: I'm happy to withdraw the
- 2 question.
- JUDGE HILLIARD: What's good for the goose is
- 4 good for the gander here.
- 5 MR. NEVILLE: That's fine.
- 6 BY MR. NEVILLE:
- 7 Q Now, you're aware of Section 25 of the
- 8 Wireless Act?
- 9 A Yes. I believe so. If I could take a
- 10 minute to look at it?
- 11 Q Certainly.
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And the third full paragraph of Section 25,
- 14 you're familiar with that?
- 15 A Yes, I am.
- 16 Q Okay. Does that contain the words anywhere
- 17 "service territory"?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q Does it contain the phrase "jurisdictional
- 20 boundary"?
- 21 A No. It contains the words "overlapping
- jurisdiction, " which does not exist here.

- 1 O And does it contain the word "referendum"?
- 2 A No.
- 3 Q Now, you testified that the wireless 9-1-1
- 4 calls that emanate from Willowbrook and Clarendon
- 5 Hills are first routed to the DuPage Sheriff?
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 Q That's by choice, is it not?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q Okay. In other words --
- 10 A That is by order of the ICC.
- 11 Q But that was the plan that was presented to
- 12 the ICC?
- 13 A That is correct.
- 14 O That is correct.
- 15 And that was the plan that was
- 16 presented by DuPage?
- 17 A Yes. And that has been the process in
- 18 effect since 1994.
- 19 Q And you stated that your wireless plan had
- 20 been approved, did you not --
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q -- isn't that the word you used?

- 1 You were --
- 2 MR. NEVILLE: I'm going to be referring to
- 3 Southwest Central Dispatch 18, Judge.
- 4 MR. BOND: I'm sorry, which number?
- 5 MR. NEVILLE: Southwest Central 18.
- 6 THE WITNESS: 18. Yes, I have Exhibit 18.
- 7 BY MR. NEVILLE:
- 8 Q All right. That's a letter of Mr. Heap
- 9 (phonetic) -- strike that.
- 10 It's a letter addressed to Mr. Heap
- 11 and signed by Mr. Gasferon?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 O Dated December 7th?
- 14 A Yes. 2000.
- O Do you see the word "approval" in any of
- 16 the --
- 17 A No. I see the words "your entity" --
- 18 Q The question was, Ms. Witness, do you see
- 19 the --
- 20 A No. No, I've asked and answer (sic).
- 21 Q Are you familiar with the Section 728-210,
- 22 Subsection C, 1 to 6?

- 1 A I would need to look at the section.
- Q Okay.
- 3 A What is the citation again?
- 4 Q The same citation that's in the letter.
- 5 A Oh. That is part of the administrative
- 6 code. I would need to look at the administrative
- 7 code.
- 8 Q Okay.
- 9 MR. HARVEY: If I might, your Honor -- I think
- 10 I know where this is going. The question is going to
- 11 be whether these wireless plans were, in fact,
- 12 approved by the Commission or reviewed by Staff or
- 13 what Commission impromptu, or wireless plan --
- 14 JUDGE HILLIARD: Is that correct?
- 15 MR. NEVILLE: That's correct.
- 16 JUDGE HILLIARD: So do you want to speak to
- 17 that?
- 18 MR. HARVEY: I think I can, your Honor. I
- 19 don't think there's a formal requirement in the
- 20 Wireless Act that either -- or any wireless plan be
- 21 formally approved by the Commission. And my
- 22 understanding -- and if it becomes necessary to

- 1 formally prove this, I will, I guess, submit an
- 2 affidavit from Staff or something -- that Staff
- 3 reviewed these plans for compliance and deter- --
- 4 with the statutory sections cited; and, in fact, to
- 5 the extent that they do so comply, that Staff would
- 6 send a letter to the appropriate ETSB suggesting
- 7 that, in fact, that Staff had reviewed the plan and
- 8 determined that it was in compliance with the
- 9 relevant regulations.
- 10 It is not my understanding that these
- 11 plans were formally submitted to the Commission in a
- 12 manner that would have resulted in a docketed
- 13 proceeding or a Commission order. If that is the
- 14 question, you know, I think we can perhaps agree to
- 15 that. Because that is, in fact, the procedure that
- 16 was used.
- 17 MR. NEVILLE: That's fine with me. That's what
- 18 I was trying to show.
- 19 The only other point I'm trying to
- 20 make from this is that the section of the
- 21 administrative code that's cited in this letter does
- 22 not relate to surcharge funds. That's the other the

- 1 point I'm trying to make. But that can be an
- 2 argument be- --
- 3 MR. BOND: Well, we'll stipulate to that
- 4 section doesn't refer to surcharge funds.
- 5 MR. NEVILLE: Yes.
- 6 MR. HARVEY: And I would further note that this
- 7 applies to all plans and not just DuPage's. It was
- 8 not in my intent to suggest that DuPage's plan was
- 9 not approved of but as to Southwest Central's was.
- 10 In fact, Southwest Central's was reviewed in the same
- 11 manner.
- 12 JUDGE HILLIARD: Fine.
- MR. BOND: And, Judge, I think we've used,
- 14 perhaps unartfully, the approved and accepted -- I
- 15 think Mr. Shanley's testimony is the same with
- 16 respect to their plan. But we would accept the
- 17 Staff's interpretation of what that change is, which
- is really accepted.
- 19 JUDGE HILLIARD: Okay.
- 20 MR. NEVILLE: Fine. That's all I was trying to
- show, your Honor.

- 1 BY MR. NEVILLE:
- 2 Q DuPage has the capability, does it not, the
- 3 ETSB, to route wireless calls directly to a PSAP
- 4 other than a Sheriff?
- 5 A I do not have knowledge of that.
- 6 Q You testified that you were never made
- 7 aware of any problem with dispatching by the DuPage
- 8 Sheriff. Is that what your testimony is?
- 9 A My testimony was not those words. If you
- 10 could ask the question...
- 11 Q Well, I'm trying to find out if, as you sit
- 12 here, your testimony is that DuPage Sheriff has never
- made an error with a 9-1-1 wireless call?
- 14 A That is not my testimony.
- 15 Q That's what I thought your testimony was.
- 16 That's why I'm asking.
- 17 A No, I did not state that.
- 18 Q You are aware that the DuPage Sheriff has
- 19 made errors in dispatching with the receipt of 9-1-1
- 20 calls -- wireless 9-1-1 calls, are you not?
- 21 A I do not have any knowledge given to me
- 22 directly of that.

- 1 Q But you're not sitting there saying here
- 2 today that they've never -- that the Sheriff's
- 3 dispatching has never been in error?
- 4 A I do not have any knowledge of that either.
- 5 Q Would you agree that the fact that a
- 6 wireless call is received by the Sheriff and not
- 7 directly by Southwest ETSB causes additional time to
- 8 be expended prior to the issuance of emergency
- 9 personnel?
- 10 A No. The procedure used at the Sheriff is
- 11 the same procedure used for every other incorporated
- 12 municipality within the DuPage County ETSB.
- 13 Q Well, that may be. But the fact of the
- 14 matter is that when a wireless call is routed to the
- 15 Sheriff and not directly to Southwest, doesn't it
- take more time to address the emergency?
- 17 A I do not have any knowledge of that.
- 18 Q Okay. Are you aware that Southwest Central
- 19 ETSB has also paid the tariff to SBC?
- 20 A I was recently informed of that fact much
- 21 to my surprise, yes.
- 22 Q And what is the yearly budget of the DuPage

- 1 ETSB?
- 2 MR. BOND: Objection. It goes beyond the
- 3 scope. But if she knows...
- 4 JUDGE HILLIARD: If you know the answer, fine.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. We have two budgets, and
- 6 they total \$14 million.
- 7 BY MR. NEVILLE:
- 8 Q That's yearly --
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q -- or annual, I should say.
- 11 A Yes, it's an appropriation budget. That is
- 12 correct, yes.
- 13 Q You talked about the SONET ring?
- 14 A Yes.
- Q And that's a voluntary program; correct?
- 16 A It's not a program.
- I don't understand your question.
- JUDGE HILLIARD: I think it's equipment.
- 19 MR. NEVILLE: Well --
- 20 MR. HARVEY: It's a high capacity --
- 21 BY MR. NEVILLE:
- 22 Q -- I didn't mean it as a program in a

- 1 computer sense. I meant whether or not the community
- 2 elects to participate in the SONET ring is a
- 3 voluntary decision on their part?
- A No, it is part of our public safety
- 5 network.
- 6 Q I thought I heard you say -- testify that
- 7 Clarendon Hills is not participating in it?
- 8 A No, because there's no revenue to pay for
- 9 their participation.
- 10 Q So you're going -- DuPage ETSB is going to
- 11 require every community --
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q Well, then who has the choice of whether
- 14 they're involved in it?
- 15 A There are only two communities that have no
- 16 revenue to pay for SONET -- and it is a serious
- 17 matter for the DuPage County ETSB -- Willowbrook --
- 18 and Willowbrook opted to enter a contract to receive
- 19 the service. Clarendon Hills could not pay the
- 20 revenue needed for SONET access.
- 21 Q And, therefore, they elected not to be part
- 22 of it as --

- 1 A I can't answer that.
- 2 Q Is the SONET ring involved only in the
- 3 receipt and dispatching of wireless calls?
- 4 A No.
- 5 Q It involves wireline calls as well?
- 6 A It involves all 9-1-1 calls.
- 7 Q It also involves radio communication by
- 8 emergency personnel?
- 9 A Not to my knowledge.
- 10 MR. NEVILLE: Just give me a second, Judge.
- 11 BY MR. NEVILLE:
- 12 Q You testified that Southwest Central ETSB
- 13 provides wireline 9-1-1 dispatching of Clarendon
- 14 Hills and Willowbrook pursuant to the contract. Do
- 15 you recall that testimony?
- 16 A Incorporated Willowbrook and Clarendon
- 17 Hills, yes.
- 18 Q In fact, their authority comes from the ICC
- 19 order, does it not?
- 20 A I believe so, yes.
- 21 MR. NEVILLE: That's all I have, Judge.
- 22 JUDGE HILLIARD: Redirect?

- 1 MR. HARVEY: No, I don't have anything for this
- 2 witness, your Honor.
- 3 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 4 BY
- 5 MR. BOND:
- 6 Q You had testified as to the contract -- the
- 7 1993 contract between the DuPage County ETSB and
- 8 Southwest Central ETSB?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And in that contract, the parties agreed
- 11 that the Southwest Central would then handle the --
- 12 directly handle 9-1-1 wireline calls?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And if DuPage County exercised its
- 15 cancellation rights under paragraph 10 of that
- 16 agreement, would that alter the relationship between
- 17 the parties?
- 18 A It would alter the relationship, yes.
- 19 Q And would that cause, then, the parties to
- 20 go back to the ICC to modify the wireline territory
- 21 or service area?
- 22 A I cannot speak prospectively. It might.

- 1 Q Why were the ESN numbers for Willowbrook
- 2 and Clarendon Hills, to your knowledge, not in the
- 3 DuPage ETSB MSAG?
- 4 MR. NEVILLE: Objection, Judge, unless he lays
- 5 a foundation.
- 6 JUDGE HILLIARD: Why don't you try a foundation
- 7 first.
- 8 BY MR. BOND:
- 9 Q In the course of your various capacities
- 10 with the DuPage ETSB, did you -- do you have an
- 11 occasion to review the MSAG at any time?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And on how frequent a basis have you done
- 14 that?
- 15 A The MSAG was initially reviewed when it was
- 16 presented to the ETSB.
- 17 O When would that have been?
- 18 A That would have been in 1988 when the ETSB
- 19 had to sign nondisclosure agreements to obtain the
- MSAG.
- 21 I visually see the MSAG requests
- 22 coming from municipalities, but an entire MSAG

- 1 printout would not be received after the initial MSAG
- 2 was received in '88 on a regular basis.
- 3 O So there's not a periodic update that's
- 4 supplied to the DuPage ETSB of the MSAG?
- 5 A There are constant updates, but a massive
- 6 printing -- the original printing was boxes and
- 7 boxes, an entire wall maybe of 8-by-8 of the MSAG. I
- 8 have not reviewed or seen that since the original
- 9 9-1-1 system was established.
- 10 Q When did you first learn that the ESNs for
- 11 Willowbrook and Clarendon Hills were not in the
- 12 DuPage County ETSB MSAG?
- 13 A June of 2005.
- 14 O Did that surprise you?
- 15 A Very much so, yes.
- 16 O Why?
- 17 MR. NEVILLE: Objection, Judge. Her surprise
- 18 is of no relevance to this --
- 19 MR. BOND: Well, Judge, it is relevant --
- 20 JUDGE HILLIARD: Well, she's the administrator.
- 21 It's her job to keep track of these things. I think
- 22 it's relevant.

- 1 THE WITNESS: There are two reasons: Number
- one, I had consistently received MSAG request updates
- 3 in the form of annexations and changes of addresses
- 4 for the incorporated villages of Willowbrook and
- 5 Clarendon Hills. And there was no action taken on my
- 6 part to ever remove or, to the best of my
- 7 knowledge -- well, strike that.
- 8 There was no action taken on my part
- 9 to have any ESNs removed from the DuPage County MSAG.
- 10 Q Did you believe that those ESNs for
- 11 Willowbrook and Clarendon Hills not being in the
- 12 DuPage MSAG was an error?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 O What did you do about that?
- 15 A I contacted Vidas Germanas and asked him
- 16 why the ESNs were not in the DuPage County MSAG.
- 17 O Why did you contact Vidas Germanas?
- 18 A He's the MSAG coordinator.
- 19 O And after you contacted Vidas Germanas, do
- 20 you know whether or not the ESNs for Willowbrook and
- 21 Clarendon Hills were restored back to the DuPage ETSB
- 22 MSAG?

- 1 A Yes.
- Q And were they?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And what you've seen previously as
- 5 Exhibit R, that's a copy of the DuPage County MSAG
- 6 that includes the ESN for Willowbrook and Clarendon
- 7 Hills?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And that's the MSAG, to the best of your
- 10 knowledge, that is in effect as we sit here today?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q You also testified under cross-examination
- as to the maintenance of the paragraph 5, page 5
- 14 contract between the ETSB of DuPage and Southwest
- 15 Central, which is previously marked as Exhibit 11.
- 16 What is the "maintenance" of that
- 17 Master Street Address Guide?
- 18 A I wrote that agreement. And when I wrote
- 19 that agreement, maintenance meant that Southwest
- 20 Central would submit, in lieu of Willowbrook or
- 21 Clarendon Hills, address changes to DuPage County
- 22 ETSB. That was the intent. Wireless was not in

- 1 effect at that time, and it was to enable the clear
- 2 flow of documents between DuPage County ETSB and
- 3 Southwest Central ETSB.
- 4 Q And under that understanding, is that the
- 5 mechanics of how MSAG updates are made?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q How are the MSAG updates made?
- 8 A The requests are as they were from the
- 9 inception of the 9-1-1 MSAG, coming from Clarendon
- 10 Hills and Willowbrook directly to the DuPage County
- 11 ETSB.
- 12 Q And what happens once the DuPage County
- 13 ETSB receives information regarding an MSAG change?
- 14 A Vidas Germanas enters into his account with
- 15 Intrado after verification of the authenticity of
- 16 that address modification or change. And Vidas
- 17 enters it into the Intrado system; and then Intrado
- 18 electronically confirms back that the change has been
- 19 made.
- 20 Q And do you have any knowledge -- well, you
- 21 were here when Mr. Germanas testified yesterday?
- 22 A Yes.

- 1 Q And without going into that in any detail,
- 2 it is your understanding that that is, in fact, the
- 3 procedure that has been utilized by Mr. Germanas for
- 4 making any modifications to the MSAG.
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q You testified as to the SONET technology.
- 7 If the grant monies are received by -- released to
- 8 DuPage ETSB, would this money be used to allow
- 9 Clarendon Hills to participate in the SONET?
- 10 A Yes. And Willowbrook would continue to
- 11 participate and receive a refund of the 12 payments
- 12 that they have already made.
- 13 O Who is the PSAP for the wireless 9-1-1
- service or calls in the incorporated Wood Dale (sic)
- 15 and Clarendon Hills?
- 16 JUDGE HILLIARD: Willowbrook and Clarendon
- 17 Hills?
- MR. BOND: Willowbrook and Clarendon Hills.
- 19 THE WITNESS: The DuPage County Sheriff.
- 20 BY MR. BOND:
- 21 Q When were the wireless grant monies first
- 22 made available, do you know?

- 1 A I do not recall the exact date. I'm sorry.
- Q Approximately?
- 3 A I really can't recall. It's been several
- 4 years. Maybe three or four years ago. I just can't
- 5 recall.
- 6 Q Okay. In the past three or four years, or
- 7 since the wireless grant funds first became
- 8 available, who was the PSAP for the wireless 9-1-1
- 9 service or calls in the incorporated areas of
- 10 Willowbrook and Clarendon Hills?
- 11 A Since 1994, the DuPage County Sheriff.
- MR. BOND: I have nothing further.
- 13 JUDGE HILLIARD: Recross?
- MR. NEVILLE: Very briefly.
- 15 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- 16 BY
- 17 MR. NEVILLE:
- 18 Q The agreement, which is 11 --
- 19 A Yes.
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q -- that never has been cancelled, has it?

- 1 A Not to my knowledge, no.
- 2 Q And as far as you know today, it's in full
- 3 force and in effect?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Did you ever have a conversation with Cindy
- 6 Barbera-Brello when the contract was being drafted?
- 7 A I can't recall a conversation. I may have,
- 8 but that's been a long time ago. And I can't recall
- 9 a conversation.
- 10 Q You were present when she testified?
- 11 A Yes.
- 13 to you and you agreed that the ESN numbers for
- 14 Willowbrook and Clarendon Hills would be placed in
- 15 the Southwest Central's MSAG?
- 16 A I do not recall having that conversation.
- 17 Q Okay. You were present when Mary McIlvain
- 18 testified?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 O And she testified that she sends
- 21 modification requests to Intrado for Willowbrook and
- 22 Clarendon Hills. Do you recall that?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And that she received confirmation?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Do you have any reason to doubt that?
- 5 A None at all.
- 6 MR. NEVILLE: That's all I have, Judge.
- 7 MR. BOND: Just real briefly.
- 8 MR. HARVEY: If I might ask one question by way
- 9 of clarification.
- 10 EXAMINATION
- 11 BY
- 12 MR. HARVEY:
- 13 Q Ms. Hauptman, when you used the term
- 14 "wireless grant funds," is it fair to say that that
- 15 means grants made from the Wireless Emergency
- 16 Telephone Systems fund by either the Department of
- 17 Central Management Services or the ICC to Emergency
- 18 Telephone Safety Boards? Is that -- am I
- 19 understanding your --
- 20 A Emergency Telephone System Board, yes.
- Q Okay. That's what you mean?
- 22 A Yes.

1	Q Okay. Thank you.
2	FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
3	ВҮ
4	MR. BOND:
5	Q When you heard Ms. McIlvain testify, is
6	that the first time you learned there was any
7	modifications or anybody else who was submitting
8	possible modifications to the DuPage ETSB MSAG?
9	A Yes.
LO	MR. BOND: Nothing further.
11	MR. NEVILLE: Nothing.
L2	JUDGE HILLIARD: Thank you, ma'am.
13	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
L4	JUDGE HILLIARD: How long is your next witness?
L5	MR. BOND: Probably a half-hour or so.
L6	JUDGE HILLIARD: Do you want to go to lunch now
L7	or go through this guy and get it over with?
L8	(Whereupon, a discussion was had
L9	off the record.)
20	
21	

- 1 (Witness sworn.)
- THOMAS K. JANAES,
- 3 called as a witness herein, having been first duly
- 4 sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
- 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 6 BY
- 7 MR. BOND:
- 8 Q Can you please state your name.
- 9 A Thomas K. Janaes, J-a-n-a-e-s.
- 10 Q And Mr. Janaes, what is your current
- 11 business address?
- 12 A Well, it would be 1901 North Naper
- 13 Boulevard, which is my only professional endeavor
- 14 right now, the ETSB of DuPage County. I'm retired.
- Okay. And -- well, let me get to your
- 16 education. I'll get to your employment background.
- 17 What is the highest level of education
- 18 you've received?
- 19 A 17 years, a year of graduate school.
- 20 Q And you've received -- have you received
- 21 any degrees?
- 22 A Bachelor's degree in classical languages.

- 1 Q Okay. And you said that you had a --
- pursued a higher education beyond a bachelor's
- 3 degree?
- 4 A Yes. I started at graduate school at
- 5 Loyola University in Chicago in classical languages
- 6 and stopped; later applied for and was accepted at
- 7 University of Chicago; and did not -- had a change of
- 8 heart in my career planning and decided to get into
- 9 the public safety business.
- 10 Q And when did you first get into the public
- 11 safety arena?
- 12 A 1983.
- 13 Q And in what capacity?
- 14 A Deputy Sheriff of DuPage County.
- 15 Q And for what period of time did you serve
- 16 as a deputy sheriff in DuPage County?
- 17 A From 1983 until 2003 when I retired.
- 18 Q And what position or rank did you hold
- 19 prior to your retirement from the DuPage County
- 20 Sheriff's Office?
- 21 A I held the position of chief, which is also
- 22 called undersheriff.

- 1 Q And in your capacity as undersheriff --
- what's the size of the sheriff's department of DuPage
- 3 County?
- 4 A Approximately 600 employees.
- 5 Q And in your capacity as under sheriff, were
- 6 you responsible for overseeing the supervision of
- 7 those 600 employees?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And did you report -- who did you report
- 10 directly to?
- 11 A I reported to the sheriff.
- 12 Q Did your duties in your tenure with the
- 13 DuPage County Sheriff's Office relate to 9-1-1
- 14 services?
- 15 A Yes, they did. There was an overlap. I
- was a board member of the 9-1-1 board, which I'm not
- 17 going to get into. But I was also in charge of all
- 18 the technology for the Sheriff's Office which
- 19 dovetailed into the 9-1-1 effort in DuPage County.
- 20 Q And you indicated that you served on the
- 21 DuPage County ETSB?
- 22 A Yes. I was appointed by the DuPage County

- 1 Board as a member of the ETSB in 1992.
- 2 Q And for what period of time did you serve
- 3 as a member of the DuPage ETSB?
- 4 A I served to the present with success of
- 5 three appointments. And I'm appointed as secretary
- 6 of the ETSB.
- 7 Q So you hold an office with the DuPage ETSB
- 8 at the present time?
- 9 A Yes, secretary.
- 10 Q Are you familiar with the public question
- 11 referendum that established the DuPage ETSB?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And are you also -- in your extensive
- 14 experience, in 9-1-1 and law enforcement, are you
- 15 familiar with the Emergency Telephone System Act?
- 16 A Yes, I am.
- 18 DuPage -- or how the DuPage ETSB was established?
- 19 MR. NEVILLE: Objection, Judge. This is -- his
- 20 testimony was established by Nancy Hauptman to start
- 21 off with, as I recall.
- MR. BOND: Judge, I can abbreviate it.

- 1 He would testify that the authority
- 2 established originates from the surcharge referendum
- 3 that was provided to the voters in the incorporated
- 4 counties of DuPage County.
- 5 JUDGE HILLIARD: Is that all right?
- 6 MR. NEVILLE: That's okay.
- 7 JUDGE HILLIARD: You stipulate to that then?
- 8 MR. NEVILLE: Well, I'm stipulating he'd
- 9 testify to that, then.
- 10 MR. BOND: Judge, it may -- and I might be able
- 11 to abbreviate some of this because some of this is a
- 12 little bit -- does provide a different perspective
- 13 from this witness. And if you want, I can just run
- 14 though them.
- Just he would testify to Willowbrook,
- 16 as a result of the referendum, as a member of the
- 17 DuPage ETSB.
- 18 MR. NEVILLE: I have no argument with that.
- MR. BOND: And that Clarendon Hills, as a
- 20 result of the referendum, is also a member of the
- 21 ETSB.
- JUDGE HILLIARD: DuPage ETSB.

- 1 MR. NEVILLE: What I would stipulate is he
- 2 would testify to this, yes.
- 3 MR. BOND: He would further testify that in or
- 4 around 1991 DuPage ETSB and Southwest Central
- 5 contracted for dispatch services.
- 6 MR. NEVILLE: Wireline dispatch.
- 7 MR. BOND: Wireline dispatch services.
- 8 MR. NEVILLE: Yes.
- 9 MR. BOND: And he would testify that neither
- 10 Clarendon Hills or Willowbrook have ever held
- 11 referendum within their municipal boundaries relative
- 12 to the establishment of an ETSB or the implementation
- of an ETSB surcharge.
- 14 MR. NEVILLE: I would agree that he would
- 15 testify to that.
- MR. BOND: He would testify that the 1991
- 17 agreement indicates that the parties identified that
- 18 Willowbrook would be a member of DuPage ETSB and not
- 19 a member of Southwest Central System.
- 20 MR. NEVILLE: You're talking about the contract
- 21 between Southwest and DuPage --
- 22 MR. BOND: Right.

- 1 MR. NEVILLE: -- which has been marked as an
- 2 exhibit?
- 3 MR. BOND: Right.
- 4 MR. NEVILLE: Yes.
- 5 MR. BOND: He would also testify that the
- 6 DuPage County ETSB provides equipment, service,
- 7 facilities, and other items necessary for the
- 8 implementation, operation, maintenance and repair of
- 9 the wireline and wireless 9-1-1 emergency telephone
- 10 system within portions of DuPage County.
- 11 MR. NEVILLE: I'd agree he'd testify to that.
- MR. BOND: He would testify that the DuPage
- 13 County ETSB provided enhanced 9-1-1 wireline and
- 14 wireless service -- telephone service to its members.
- 15 MR. NEVILLE: Well, I don't know that. I
- 16 mean --
- 17 JUDGE HILLIARD: Did you hear his statement?
- 18 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 19 JUDGE HILLIARD: Do you agree with it?
- THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
- MR. BOND: He would testify that the wireless
- 9-1-1 emergency telephone system for zip codes 60514

- and 60527 is provided by the DuPage County Sheriff
- 2 Office.
- 3 MR. NEVILLE: I'm not agreeing to that. You're
- 4 talking about wireline dispatching for Clarendon
- 5 Hills and Willowbrook?
- 6 MR. BOND: Right.
- 7 MR. NEVILLE: No, I won't agree to that.
- 8 MR. BOND: May I inquire, your Honor?
- 9 JUDGE HILLIARD: Yes.
- 10 BY MR. BOND:
- 11 Q Who provides wireless 9-1-1 emergency
- telephone service within zip codes 60514 and 60527?
- 13 A That would be the DuPage County Sheriff.
- 14 O Does the Village of -- or does Southwest
- 15 Central provide wireless emergency telephone service
- 16 to those zip codes?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q In your capacity as a board member of the
- 19 DuPage ETSB and as a DuPage County Sheriff Deputy and
- 20 under sheriff with the Sheriff's office, did you have
- 21 any involvement with the Master Street Address Guide,
- or MSAG, in connection with the DuPage ETSB?

- 1 A Yes, I did.
- 2 Q What was that role or responsibility?
- 3 A The DuPage ETSB had a contractor who
- 4 managed the MSAG for the DuPage ETSB board up to -- I
- 5 believe, it was '92. And at that point in time the
- 6 contractor left to pursue other endeavors. And I
- 7 personally took over doing the MSAG for the ETSB and
- 8 did it until we hired Vidas when we met in 1995.
- 9 JUDGE HILLIARD: Mr. Germanas.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Yes, Mr. Germanas.
- 11 BY MR. BOND:
- 12 Q And what would you do in connection with
- 13 your involvement with the MSAG from the period of
- 14 1991 to 1995 when there was a request for a change of
- 15 address in the MSAG?
- 16 MR. NEVILLE: I thought you said '92 to '95.
- 17 THE WITNESS: I believe it was approximately
- 18 '92. I'm not absolutely certain of the start date,
- 19 but I know I came on board in 1992.
- 20 BY MR. BOND:
- 21 Q Let me rephrase it then.
- Since 1992 to 1995 when Mr. Germanas

- 1 took over as the MSAG coordinator, did you ever
- 2 receive any requests for modification, amendment to
- 3 the MSAG?
- 4 A Oh, yes.
- 5 Q And could you describe for us what the
- 6 procedure was to make those modifications?
- 7 A Typically, the types of changes one would
- 8 get would be an annexation to a municipality or a new
- 9 subdivision that was platted. And I would notify the
- 10 -- I would determine, first, which of the police
- 11 agency and fire agency would respond to that address,
- which is coded in a thing called the ESN, which we've
- 13 heard about.
- 14 And I would notify the telephone
- 15 company to reflect that ESN in their database for
- 16 that address.
- 17 Q Would you receive any confirmation that
- 18 that ESN had, in fact, been incorporated in the
- 19 Master Street Address Guide?
- 20 A At that time, of course, it was a paper
- 21 operation; and, yes, I would receive a paper back --
- 22 confirmation that I had submitted it.

- 1 Q After the update or the modification to the
- 2 MSAG was made, was it the practice that the
- 3 contractor or the telephone company would send you a
- 4 complete, full Master Street Address Guide, or would
- 5 they simply acknowledge --
- 6 A Well, the deal with that was that you would
- 7 get -- on an annual basis you would get sent
- 8 information from them. And if you wanted something
- 9 in a shorter time frame than a year, you would have
- 10 to pay for it. So we would get annual reviews of the
- 11 MSAG.
- 12 Q And the annual review of the MSAG would
- 13 consist of those modifications that were made to the
- 14 MSAG within that period of time preceding a year?
- 15 A Yes. And, of course, we had other ways of
- 16 knowing if something was coded wrong, and that would
- 17 be -- the dispatch agency would identify that there
- 18 was a coding issue, which usually was on the
- 19 telephone company side.
- 20 JUDGE HILLIARD: The dispatch agency being who?
- 21 THE WITNESS: Well, there are 15 dispatch
- 22 agencies overseen by -- funded by the DuPage County

- 1 Emergency Telephone System Board that actually
- 2 dispatched to police and firemen in DuPage County.
- JUDGE HILLIARD: Okay.
- 4 BY MR. BOND:
- 5 Q Is Southwest Central Dispatch one of those
- 6 15 agencies?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q The -- do you know whether or not the
- 9 postal zip codes 60527 and 60514 are contained within
- 10 the DuPage County ETSB MSAG?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And in your experience as the MSAG
- 13 coordinator -- well, did you have any oversight over
- 14 Mr. Germanas in your capacity with the Sheriff's
- 15 Office or the ETSB once Mr. Germanas became the MSAG
- 16 coordinator?
- 17 A I trained Mr. Germanas; and after that
- point, he reported to the DuPage 9-1-1 board monthly
- 19 on his status on the MSAG.
- 20 Q And to your knowledge, who possesses the
- 21 authority to make changes to the MSAG that you
- 22 described procedurally?

- 1 A Just Mr. Germanas.
- 2 MR. BOND: I got a couple that may result in
- 3 stipulation.
- 4 Mr. Janaes would testify that
- 5 Southwest Central has provided enhanced wireline
- 6 9-1-1 telephone service for all wireline 9-1-1 calls
- 7 originating in the incorporated boundaries of
- 8 Willowbrook since 1992.
- 9 MR. NEVILLE: 1991 I thought.
- 10 MR. BOND: I'll change it to '91. It's fine.
- 11 MR. NEVILLE: Yeah.
- MR. BOND: And he would further testify that
- 13 Southwest Central has provided wireline 9-1-1 service
- 14 for 9-1-1 calls originating within the incorporated
- 15 borders of Clarendon Hills since 1993.
- 16 MR. NEVILLE: I agree he'd testify to that.
- 17 BY MR. BOND:
- 18 Q For the wireline 9-1-1 calls originating
- 19 within the incorporated Willowbrook and incorporated
- 20 Clarendon Hills, are those directly received by
- 21 Southwest Central? The wireline?
- 22 A The wireline, yes.

- 1 Q And are those calls then dispatched and
- 2 handled by Southwest Central from the inception of
- 3 the call to the end of the emergency?
- 4 A Yes -- or to the extent that they don't
- 5 need to bring in another party that's beyond their
- 6 dispatch center.
- 7 Q And the wireless 9-1-1 calls originating --
- 8 the wireless, however, 9-1-1 calls originating within
- 9 the incorporated boundaries of Willowbrook and
- 10 Clarendon Hills, who receives those?
- 11 A The wireless are received by the DuPage
- 12 County Sheriff.
- 13 Q And do you know for what period of time
- 14 that has occurred?
- 15 A That has occurred since October of 1994.
- MR. BOND: This is another potential
- 17 stipulation.
- 18 He would testify that after the
- 19 enactment of the Wireless Act, DuPage ETSB filed its
- 20 plan as required by statute of the ICC.
- 21 MR. NEVILLE: I agree he'd testify to that.
- MR. HARVEY: I would say, perhaps, submitted to

- 1 the ICC Staff would be a more accurate
- 2 representation.
- JUDGE HILLIARD: Is that all right?
- 4 MR. BOND: That would be acceptable, Judge.
- 5 BY MR. BOND:
- 6 Q Prior to the enactment of the wireless
- 7 9-1-1 act and since 1994, who has answered the
- 8 wireless 9-1-1 calls within the incorporated
- 9 Clarendon Hills and incorporated Willowbrook area?
- 10 A DuPage County Sheriff.
- 11 MR. NEVILLE: Was that wireless?
- MR. BOND: Wireless.
- MR. NEVILLE: Thank you.
- 14 BY MR. BOND:
- 15 Q And from the time that the DuPage County
- 16 ETSB's wireless plan was submitted to the ICC and
- 17 accepted by the ICC, up to and including the present
- time, are all wireless 9-1-1 calls initiated within
- 19 the incorporated Willowbrook and Clarendon Hills area
- 20 received by the DuPage County Sheriff's dispatch
- 21 center and transferred by the Sheriff's Office to
- 22 Southwest Central, or are those incorporated areas of

- 1 Willowbrook and Clarendon Hills?
- 2 A The answer is, yes, to the extent that
- 3 isn't a State Police call because it would be
- 4 transferred to the State Police not Southwest
- 5 Central.
- 6 Q So there are some calls that would come in
- 7 that may not be transfer- -- wireless calls
- 8 initiating or originating within the jurisdictional
- 9 boundaries -- municipal jurisdictional boundaries of
- 10 Clarendon Hills and Willowbrook which may not be
- 11 transferred to --
- 12 A Yes, because wireless is colluded business.
- 13 People are making the calls about something they've
- 14 seen, which may need a jurisdictional back; and many
- 15 people are getting on or off the state roads there,
- and it would call for a State police response.
- 17 Q And there's also other calls that simply
- 18 are multiple calls for a single incident or accident?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And those wouldn't be transferred then,
- 21 would they?
- 22 A That's correct.

- 1 Q And in your capacity, both with the
- 2 Sheriff's Office and with the ETSB, if there was a
- 3 problem with a call or the transmission or the
- 4 transfer of a call in an emergency situation, would
- 5 that be brought to your attention?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And to your knowledge, has a receipt by the
- 8 DuPage County Sheriff of any wireless 9-1-1 calls
- 9 from either incorporated Willowbrook or incorporated
- 10 Clarendon Hills resulted in any unacceptable safety
- 11 issue or incident?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q Is the public safety of the incorporated
- 14 areas of Willowbrook and Clarendon Hills impacted in
- any way favorably by the ETS- -- by the Sheriff's
- 16 Office initiating -- or Sheriff's Office initially
- 17 receiving the call?
- 18 A Yes, I believe that is true.
- 19 O How so?
- 20 A I believe that the -- and this is something
- 21 that the sheriff has taken special effort at training
- 22 his staff for dealing with this type of call, where

- 1 some amount of triaging is necessary to determine the
- 2 location of the incident. And also the wireless
- 3 calls, given that they come from multiple vendors and
- 4 given the fact that the location information is so
- 5 sketchy and inaccurate in many cases, the sheriff's
- 6 people are trained and skilled in determining what
- 7 the true location is of the call. And that includes
- 8 working with the cell company on the spot and
- 9 investigating these calls. And they take them very,
- 10 very seriously.
- 11 MR. BOND: The others I just have -- if called
- 12 to testify, he would testify with respect to the
- 13 infrastructure, the budget, and the figures that
- 14 Ms. Hauptman testified to with respect to the 9-1-1.
- MR. NEVILLE: It would be the same testimony?
- MR. BOND: It would be the same testimony.
- 17 MR. NEVILLE: Then I would agree he would
- 18 testify.
- 19 BY MR. BOND:
- 20 O Mr. Janaes, who is the PSAP for the
- 21 wireless 9-1-1 service or call in the incorporated
- 22 Willowbrook or Clarendon Hills area.

- 1 A The DuPage County Sheriff.
- 2 MR. BOND: I have nothing further.
- 3 MR. NEVILLE: Can I just have the last question
- 4 read back.
- 5 JUDGE HILLIARD: Sure.
- 6 (Whereupon, the record was read
- 7 as requested.)
- 8 MR. NEVILLE: That's all I needed. Thank you.
- 9 Your last question did not include
- 10 wireline, did it?
- 11 MR. BOND: I'm sorry?
- MR. NEVILLE: Your last question did not
- include wireline, did it?
- MR. BOND: No, that's correct, "for the
- 15 wireless 9-1-1 service."
- MR. NEVILLE: Did you complete your
- 17 examination?
- MR. BOND: Yes, I've completed my examination.
- 19 JUDGE HILLIARD: And cross?
- MR. NEVILLE: Yes.

21

22

- 1 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 2 BY
- 3 MR. NEVILLE:
- 4 Q For wireline 9-1-1 calls, Southwest Central
- 5 Dispatch is the PSAP for wireline calls that emanate
- 6 for Willowbrook and Clarendon Hills?
- 7 A Yes, from incorporated Willowbrook and
- 8 Clarendon Hills.
- 9 Q This issue about nothing's been brought to
- 10 your attention about public -- unacceptable answering
- of wireless 9-1-1 calls, are you sitting here today
- 12 and telling me that there's been a mistake -- let me
- 13 finish my question before you respond -- that you're
- 14 aware of every call that's come in and there's never
- been a mistake made by the DuPage County Sheriff?
- MR. BOND: I'm going to object as to relevance.
- 17 And, you know, the purpose of the testimony of this
- 18 witness is and other witnesses -- there's an exhibit
- 19 that's been admitted into evidence for resolution
- 20 from the Village of Clarendon Hills and the Village
- of Willowbrook from 1993 through 2005, and they
- 22 indicate in those resolutions that public safety will

- 1 be compromised by all of these things occurring.
- 2 And the purpose of the testimony was
- 3 to show, in fact, those things did not occur. Not to
- 4 say there would never be human error involved in any
- 5 call. And I think that's beyond the scope of --
- 6 JUDGE HILLIARD: I think your question's a
- 7 little broad. You didn't ask him about your two
- 8 areas you're interested in. You just asked him about
- 9 the entire Sheriff's Office and all of the calls.
- 10 MR. NEVILLE: That's fair. I'll withdraw that
- 11 question then.
- 12 BY MR. NEVILLE:
- 13 Q With regard to Willowbrook and Clarendon
- 14 Hills, is it your testimony, as you sit here, that
- 15 wireless calls that emanate from Willowbrook and
- 16 Clarendon Hills and are transferred to the Sheriff
- 17 that there's never been a mistake made in
- 18 dispatching?
- 19 A I'm willing to testify -- and I was the
- 20 direct supervisor of the dispatch center -- that
- 21 nothing has been reported to me. And they do report
- things to me because I'm a problem-solver for them.

- 1 Q But the fact -- you can't testify, as you
- 2 sit here, that errors haven't occurred. You can just
- 3 testify that errors haven't been reported to you; is
- 4 that fair?
- 5 MR. BOND: Objection. Asked and answered.
- 6 JUDGE HILLIARD: You can answer.
- 7 THE WITNESS: I would say that they haven't
- 8 happened if they weren't reported to me.
- 9 BY MR. NEVILLE:
- 10 Q All right. So there's never been a mistake
- 11 made with regard to Willowbrook and Clarendon
- 12 Hills --
- 13 JUDGE HILLIARD: I think you're arguing with
- 14 him now. I'm going to sustain the objection to that
- 15 question.
- 16 You left the office in '03; isn't that
- 17 right?
- THE WITNESS: In '03, yes.
- 19 JUDGE HILLIARD: Okay.
- 20 BY MR. NEVILLE:
- 21 Q You agree that it is possible that the
- wireless 9-1-1 calls that emanate from Willowbrook

- 1 and Clarendon Hills could be directly routed to
- 2 Southwest Central ETSB?
- 3 A I don't see how I could agree to it. How
- 4 could that happen?
- 5 Q You don't believe it's technologically
- 6 possible?
- 7 A No, I don't.
- 8 Q Now, you said that you were involved with
- 9 the DuPage MSAG, if I'm correct, from the years '92
- 10 to '95; is that right?
- 11 A That is correct.
- 12 Q And during those years, do you have any
- 13 knowledge of what ESN numbers were contained in the
- 14 MSAG?
- 15 A Well, at one time I had them all memorized.
- 16 But I can't recite all the MSAG ESN numbers now.
- 17 Q By the way, did you discuss with anybody
- 18 last night or today the testimony of any witness who
- 19 testified?
- 20 A I didn't see or hear the testimony of any
- 21 witness.
- Q Did you discuss what their testimony was

- 1 with anybody?
- 2 A Actually, the only person I talked to
- 3 wasn't a witness yesterday.
- 4 Q My question simply is, did you discuss with
- 5 anyone after the hearing yesterday, prior to your
- 6 testimony now, any substance of the testimony of any
- 7 witness who was here?
- 8 A The only witness that I'm aware of is
- 9 Mr. Shanley, his staff, and then Vidas Germanas of my
- 10 staff. And I did not discuss it with those people.
- 11 JUDGE HILLIARD: No. His question was, did you
- 12 discuss it with anyone? Did you discuss
- 13 Mr. Shanley's testimony or Mr. Germanas's testimony
- 14 or any other -- I don't know if there was any other
- 15 person -- with anyone else before coming here today
- or after they testified.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Judge. I think I
- 18 understand the question now.
- 19 My discussion was limited to if
- 20 someone did a good job or didn't do a good job. But
- 21 it was mainly centered around whether or not they
- 22 spoke loudly or softly. I was led to believe it was

- 1 the most important thing.
- 2 BY MR. NEVILLE:
- 3 Q And who did you have this conversation
- 4 with?
- 5 A That would be with Nancy Hauptman.
- 6 Q But not about the substance of anybody's
- 7 testimony?
- 8 A It was -- I can tell you quite sincerely it
- 9 was about I have to learn to raise my voice, because
- 10 I have a very soft voice.
- 11 Q Do you have any knowledge of whether the
- 12 ESN numbers for Willowbrook and Clarendon Hills were
- 13 present in the DuPage MSAG in the years '92 to '95?
- 14 A They would have been. Yes, they were.
- 15 Everything except incorporated Naperville would have
- 16 been in at that time.
- 17 Q Did you bring any documents here today to
- 18 corroborate that fact?
- 19 A No.
- 21 corroborate that fact?
- 22 A Vidas Germanas would have whatever

- 1 documents exist.
- 2 Q Pardon me?
- 3 A Vidas Germanas would have whatever
- 4 documents might exist.
- 5 Q Do you have any explanation for how those
- 6 ESN numbers became part of Southwest Central ETSB's
- 7 MSAG?
- 8 A Well, you know, it's technically possible
- 9 given that the telephone company is involved in this.
- 10 They may have allowed another entity to overwrite
- 11 someone else's MSAG.
- 12 Q My question is, do you know?
- 13 A No.
- 14 O And you would agree that the Southwest
- 15 Central ETSB is responsible for receiving and
- 16 dispatching the wireline calls that emanate from --
- 17 A No, I would not.
- 18 Q Well, you got to let me finish my question
- 19 before you disagree with me.
- 20 A Okay.
- 21 Q Would you agree that the Southwest Central
- 22 ETSB is responsible for receiving and dispatching

- 1 wireless calls from Clarendon Hills and Willowbrook?
- 2 A No, I would not.
- 3 Q Who is responsible for that?
- 4 A The -- there's the ETSB level and the
- 5 dispatch level. Southwest is the dispatch. The ETSB
- 6 is DuPage County ETSB.
- 7 Q Is there any other entity that is permitted
- 8 by the ICC to receive and dispatch wireline calls
- 9 from Willowbrook and Clarendon Hills other than
- 10 Southwest Central?
- 11 JUDGE HILLIARD: Wait a minute. Your last
- 12 question was about wireless calls. Are you meaning
- 13 to ask about wireline calls?
- 14 MR. NEVILLE: If I said wireless, that's my
- 15 mistake. I didn't mean that. So let me just repeat
- 16 it.
- 17 BY MR. NEVILLE:
- 18 Q I'm only asking you about wireline calls.
- 19 An if I said wireless, that's my error.
- 20 Is Southwest Central ETSB responsible
- 21 for the receiving or dispatching of wireline calls
- 22 from Clarendon Hills and Willowbrook?

- 1 A I shouldn't think so. I would think it
- would be Southwest Central Dispatch Center.
- 3 Q Well, whatever you call Southwest.
- 4 But that entity is responsible for
- 5 receiving and dispatching wireline calls from
- 6 Clarendon Hills and Willowbrook?
- 7 A I wouldn't use the term -- number one,
- 8 ETSB, I wouldn't use the term "responsible." I would
- 9 use the term that they act as a PSAP within the
- 10 DuPage system, and they do answer the wireline calls.
- 11 Q Are they authorized by the ICC to do so?
- 12 A That is part of the published plan as all
- our PSAPs are.
- 14 O Intrado did not exist during the time you
- 15 were involved with the MSAG, did it?
- 16 A That is correct.
- 17 Q What was the name of the contractor prior
- 18 to 1992?
- 19 A His name was Pat McMahon, I believe.
- 20 Q Was that a company or an individual?
- 21 A It was an individual.
- 22 JUDGE HILLIARD: What function did that

- 1 contractor perform?
- THE WITNESS: He served as the MSAG manager for
- 3 the DuPage ETSB. And I think I even remember how
- 4 much we paid.
- 5 MR. NEVILLE: That's all I have, Judge.
- 6 JUDGE HILLIARD: Redirect?
- 7 MR. BOND: None, Judge.
- 8 MR. HARVEY: Nothing from Staff, your Honor.
- 9 JUDGE HILLIARD: Then I think you're all done,
- 10 sir. Thank you.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Judge.
- 12 JUDGE HILLIARD: Do you have any other
- 13 witnesses?
- 14 MR. BOND: We have no further witnesses.
- JUDGE HILLIARD: Well, then we --
- 16 MR. NEVILLE: If I may, there's just two
- 17 remaining issues that I'm aware of. And one is that
- 18 the MSAG that we delivered to CMS -- I think we
- 19 brought this up with you yesterday -- has to be
- 20 located. And Matt said he would continue his efforts
- 21 to do it, but that does need to be made part of the
- 22 record.

- 1 And the second was the affidavit of
- 2 Mr. Valentine. I believe we've agreed to the
- 3 document itself. It just needs to be filed.
- 4 MR. HARVEY: Yeah, we requested to late-file
- 5 that. And you, Judge, were kind enough to grant
- 6 that. So we'll get that on file within the next
- 7 several days.
- 8 JUDGE HILLIARD: All right. What do you want
- 9 to do if you can't find this MSAG?
- 10 MR. NEVILLE: Well, I then think that -- I had
- 11 discussed the possibility of a stipulation among the
- 12 parties, but we want to see first -- if we can't
- 13 stipulate to it, then I believe we would then have to
- 14 go to that part of the statute which says if it's not
- 15 available, you would decide it on the hearing.
- 16 That's what this section says.
- 17 JUDGE HILLIARD: All right. The MSAG, if
- 18 found, will be made a late-filed exhibit. And the
- 19 Valentine Affidavit will be made a late-filed
- 20 exhibit, also.
- 21 I'm going to get my calendar so we can
- 22 be on a post hearing schedule.

- 1 (Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
- 2 (Whereupon, a discussion was had
- off the record.)
- 4 JUDGE HILLIARD: The simultaneous initial
- 5 briefs in this manner will be due on April 8th by the
- 6 close of business, and replies will be due on or
- 7 before May 26th.
- 8 MR. HARVEY: One other question --
- 9 JUDGE HILLIARD: Excuse me, and draft orders,
- if any, will be due on the 26th.
- 11 Go ahead, Mr. Harvey.
- MR. HARVEY: It's normally the practice around
- 13 here to submit pleadings electronically without
- 14 paper, copies to follow. I mean, I'd be fine doing
- 15 that. It seems like this case is sort of departed
- 16 from our usual procedure. But to the extent that
- 17 it's acceptable to the parties, I'd prefer to submit
- 18 things electronically.
- 19 MR. NEVILLE: I have no problem with that. I
- 20 mean, we've gotten into that system, so we can do it
- 21 now.
- JUDGE HILLIARD: E-mail people with -- in

- 1 addition to filing it, e-mail copies.
- 2 MR. NEVILLE: Okay, Judge. That's fine.
- JUDGE HILLIARD: Because, otherwise, you know,
- 4 you don't know unless you look at the --
- 5 MR. NEVILLE: And I'm assuming the Word format
- 6 is what everybody wants around here.
- JUDGE HILLIARD: Yes, that's true.
- 8 MR. NEVILLE: Judge, it just occurred to me
- 9 that I had used an exhibit -- I believe it was
- 10 Southwest Central 18. But I think that document is
- 11 already in evidence through their exhibits. But I
- 12 just wanted to make sure.
- 13 Had you not already admitted that as
- 14 evidence?
- MR. BOND: Yes.
- 16 MR. HARVEY: That's at same as DuPage County L.
- 17 MR. NEVILLE: No, not L. Because L is the
- 18 plan.
- MR. BOND: Yeah, it's attached to the plan.
- 20 MR. NEVILLE: So that's where it's at. Okay.
- 21 So there's no need for me to introduce
- 22 it again?

1	JUDGE HILLIARD: No.	
2	All right then we're adjourned.	The
3	matter will be marked heard and taken.	
4	HEARD AND TAKEN.	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		